

Why DNA ?

H. Rosu ¹

Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato,
Apdo Postal E-143, 37150 León, Gto, México

Abstract

A small collection of general facts related to DNA is presented.
PACS 87.10+e: General, theoretical, and mathematical biophysics

Introduction

The DNA genetic macromolecule is certainly a remarkable entity of the Universe. First of all, it is a compact carrier of an astounding amount of biological information. One fundamental goal concerning DNA might be to reveal general mathematical aspects that may be related to its structure and thereby finding reasons for it to exist. Such general facts can be found in different mathematical disciplines and they must be carefully collected with the hope of providing further interesting insights on DNA macromolecules. For example, interesting topological considerations as applied to DNA are quite well-known [1]. At the present time there is much publishing activity in two areas - (i) nonlinear dynamical models of DNA, for a review see [2], and (ii) statistical correlations of bases and noncoding regions as well as defining measures of the informational content of the genetic molecule [3].

The sections of this paper are as follows. First, the most general features of DNA structure are presented for self-consistency reasons. Second, several comments on the partial differential equations approach are introduced. Thirdly, I quote from two remarkable books on possible differential geometric arguments for the helix structure of DNA. They apply to the first level of the hierarchy of DNA models in Yakushevich classification [4], i.e., to the *thin elastic filament* paradigm and its discrete version. This is the simplest model that nevertheless may be of relevance to the folding problem. Indeed, supposing the genetic acids be completely stable chemically, one may look at them not as heteropolymers, but as elastic thin rods. This is especially appropriate for investigating the basic features of their motions, such as their foldings inside cells. DNA foldings were not considered from this standpoint, and precisely in this regard I wish to point out the known, remarkable connections between one-dimensional soliton equations and the geometrical motion of space curves [5]. In other words, the conjecture is that solitons of chemical origin living on the moving DNA curves are responsible for the folding.

The DNA macromolecule

The famous double-helical structure of the DNA (Watson and Crick [6]) shows that a great deal of the essence of life belongs to the remarkable *helicoideal world* [7].

DNA is essentially made of two polymer backbones of interwound strands [8]. The subunits of the polymer backbones are known as nucleotides. In each backbone, phosphate groups alternate with the deoxyribose sugar, resulting in a covalently linked chain. The chains have polarity or direction, i.e., in the duplex they run parallel but in opposite directions, with a right-handed twist.

¹e-mail: rosu@ifug.ugto.mx

Address before July 1990: IGSS, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania

To the sugar ring of each nucleotide one will find attached in an apparently random way one of the following four bases: adenine, guanine, thymine and cytosine (symbolically A,G,T,C). In the case of RNA, thymine is substituted by uracil (U). The first two bases are purines, whereas the last two are pyrimidines. The information about the composition of all the protein molecules in one organism is given by the order of the nucleotide bases along the DNA chain. Each base is linked by either *two or three* hydrogen bonds to a base on the opposite strand. The pairing of the strands is almost exclusively A=T (A=U for RNA) and $G\equiv C$, which is the Watson-Crick base pairing. This is a consequence of the chemical Chargaff's rules: in nucleic acids, the molar quantities of A and T are equal, as well as of G and C. It is only the molar ratio purines/pyrimidines that is varying among species.

The point I would like to emphasize here is that both the *sugar configurations* as well as the *bases* are *pentagonal configurations*, for which the ratio of the diagonal to the side is exactly the golden mean. Therefore, the golden mean is strongly present in the DNA architecture, in a direct, exact way and not as a Fibonacci limit that I am sure be there as well, probably in some base correlations.

Partial differential equations: what could they tell us about DNA ?

The main mathematical technique of studying the dynamics of DNA is through *classical* partial differential equations, because they are governing the properties of the mesoscopic and macroscopic order parameters and thus they may be considered as approximately describing various nucleation processes in a dynamic way. Since DNA is a very complicated molecule, one expects the application of nonlinear differential equations to be overwhelming. And indeed in the last ten years or so, one has witnessed many studies regarding DNA solitons, mainly as extensions of works on molecular chains. Yomosa, following a suggestion of Englander *et al* [9], was one of the first people to introduce firmly sine-Gordon solitons for DNA double helices [10], and he was able to prove that major dynamical processes, like replication, transcription, and mutation are well described by means of various couplings between kinks. Actually, Englander *et al* proved by experiment the existence of so-called mobile open units of the order of ten base pairs in length diffusing along the double helix. For a recent review on DNA solitonic motion, see Gaeta *et al* [2]. One may ask why soliton excitations might be of relevance for DNA. A partial answer is as follows. Among the most general non-linear partial differential equations (PDE) those of reaction-diffusion type are used to model many types of spatiotemporal patterns and their dynamics. Especially important in this class of PDE are the time-dependent Landau-Ginzburg equations

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 \eta + P(\eta) . \quad (1)$$

D is a diffusion coefficient, $P(\eta)$ is a polynomial whose particular form depends on the details of the model and the quantity η is what one usually calls an order parameter helping to distinguish two opposing (or of different symmetry) phenomena that can be considered of dynamical or thermodynamical origin. Also, noises can be included in various ways. Some time ago, Skierski, Grundland, and Tuszyński [11] provided a systematic study showing how to bring a PDE of the form given in Eq.(1) to the form of an anharmonic-oscillator equation (AOE), i.e., $\ddot{u} + \gamma \dot{u} = P(u)$, by means of symmetry reduction procedures. On the other hand, AOE has well-established interpretations and are very well known mathematically. It appears that in the case of Ginzburg-Landau PDE there are two types of symmetry reductions. The first is when the symmetry

variable is $\xi = x - vt$, *i.e.*, travelling-wave solutions. Solitons and kinks belong to this class of straight line propagation of constant velocity. The second class is given by the polar choice $\xi = \phi - \log r^b$, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle, r is the radial variable in the (x, y) plane and b is a scaling parameter. This corresponds to spiral patterns of the order parameter and shows why such patterns are so common in excitable media [12].

Homogeneous curves and their self-motions

Keeping in mind the above classes of curves corresponding to the order parameter under GL symmetry reductions, I now recall some general geometric properties of homogeneous curves just by quoting (with a few additions only) from two famous books [13] and [14].

(I).- Homogeneous curves are those having *all their points the same*. In plane Euclidean geometry there are only two types of homogeneous curves, the *straight lines* ($\mathcal{L}$) and the *circles* ($\mathcal{C}$). Such curves have self-isometry groups of motion, *i.e.*, sets of isometries sending the curve into itself, or in other words, each of its points into another of its points. In the case of straight lines, the self-motions (the group of motions along itself) is the group of translations in the direction of the line. In the case of the circle, the self-motions are the group of rotations about the center of the circle.

There exists only one more plane curve which has almost the same complete degree of homogeneity as the straight line and the circle. This is the *logarithmic spiral* ($\mathcal{LS}$). In polar coordinates r, ϕ , the $\mathcal{LS}$ equation is $r = a^\phi$, where a is related to the initial radius and the coefficient of growth (or collapse) of the spiral. It is well-known that $\mathcal{LS}$ has similarity motions along itself, sending $\mathcal{LS}$ into itself, and therefore every point on it into another point onto it. The similarity motions of $\mathcal{LS}$ in polar coordinates are $r' = a^c r$, and $\phi' = \phi + c$, where c is a constant parameter (angle).

(II).- Old Greek Geometers payed sufficient attention to a special type of curves known under the name of *homoeomeric* lines, *i.e.*, *lines which are alike in all parts, so that in any one such curve any part can be made to coincide with any other part*. Proclus remarked that these lines are only three, two of which are simple and in a plane, and the third mixed, namely the cylindrical helix (called also *cochlias* or *cochlion*). Its homoeomeric property was proven by Apollonius, and the fact that there are only three classes of homoeomeric lines was proved by Geminus, who also proved the following general property: if from a point two straight lines are to be drawn to a homoeomeric line making equal angles with it, the straight lines are equal. Proclus considered the cochlion as a mixed line, in the sense that it is not generated by two simple/like motions (*i.e.*, of the same *speed*). Geminus explained that the term “mixed” as applied to curves, and as applied to surfaces, respectively, is used in different senses. For curves, mixing is neither “putting together” nor “blending”. If cut in any way, it does not present the appearance of any of the simple lines of which it is made of.

Conclusion

The double helix structure of DNA is, roughly speaking, the combined result of chemistry and the tendency to the *cochlion* configuration (two or more interwound helices fill more completely a cylindrical space).

The interplay between the nucleation processes, the general, ‘geometric’ principles dictating the configurations of important macromolecules and the kinematic potentiality as a function of the environment is clearly an open issue, since most people get used to think only in energetic (variational) way.

A powerful mathematical argument in favor of the helical structure of the DNA is the self-similar property of the helical curves. However, DNA is not a perfect self-similar organization and one should introduce methods to describe this approximate self-similarity [15].

Let us mention also that the classical analog of Schrödinger's Zitterbewegung is the helical motion. There is a revival of interest in studying this analogy. Recently, Pavšič *et al* [16] showed that the electron is associated to the mean motion of point-like constituents, whose trajectory is, at the classical level, a cylindrical helix. The occurrence of Zitterbewegung can be explained by the general self-similar properties of the helical motions, making them to come into being as *kinematical germs* at any spatial scale. For example, at the level of the electroweak theory, Poppitz [17] showed that a finite fermion density destabilizes the W-pair condensate in a strong, external magnetic field, and breaks the translational invariance in the direction of the field, leading to the appearance of a helicoidal structure.

This work was partially supported by the CONACyT Project 4868-E9406.

References

- [1] F.B. Fuller, Proc. Nat. Ac. Sci. USA **75**, 3557 (1978); D.W. Sumners, in *Geometry and Topology*, C. McCrory and T. Schiffrin, eds, (Marcel Dekker, 1986); Math. Intelligence **12**, # 3, 71 (1990); Notices of the AMS **42**, 528 (1995); L.H. Kauffman, *On knots*, pp. 113-120 (Princeton Univ. Press, 1987); *Knots and Physics*, pp. 485-500 (World Scientific, Copyright 1993, Second Ed.); D. Harel, R. Unger, J.L. Sussman, Trends Biochem. Sci. **11**, 155 (1986)
- [2] G. Gaeta, C. Reiss, M. Peyrard, and T. Dauxois, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **4** (1994) and references therein.
- [3] R.F. Voss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3805 (1992); B. Borštnik, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **52**, 457 (1994); R.N. Mantegna et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3169 (1994); G.S. Mani, J. Theor. Biol. **158**, 429 (1992); W. Ebeling and T. Pöschel, Europhys. Lett. **26**, 241 (1994), and references therein; C.-K. Peng et al., Phys. Rev. E **49**, 1685 (1994); B.D. Silverman and R. Linsker, J. Theor. Biol. **118**, 295-300 (1986); L.J. Peck and J.C. Wang, Nature **292**, 375 (1981); J. Drake and D.M. Crothers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **88**, 3074 (1991); M.D. Purugganan, Phys. Lett. A **175**, 252 (1993)
- [4] L.V. Yakushevich, Physica D **79**, 77 (1994)
- [5] S. Matsutani, Phys. Lett. A **189**, 27 (1994); G.L. Lamb, Jr., J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1654 (1977); K. Nakayama, H. Segur, and M. Wadati, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2603 (1992); R.E. Goldstein and D.M. Petrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3203 (1991); R.L. Ricca, Chaos **3**, 83 (1993); Phys. Rev. A **43**, 4281 (1991); V. Krylov and P. Rosenau, Phys. Lett. A **217**, 31 (1996)
- [6] J.D. Watson and F.H.C. Crick, Nature # 4356, 737 (1953); F.H.C. Crick and J.D. Watson, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **223**, 80 (1954); For a very readable paper, see C. Kittel, Am. J. Phys. **36**, 610 (1968)
- [7] J. Davis, *Spirals from Theodorus to Chaos* (Wellesley, MA: Peters, 1993); H.E. Huntley, *The Divine Proportion*, (Dover, 1970); See also, R. Lawlor,

- Sacred Geometry* (Thames and Hudson, 1982, reprinted 1994) especially Chapter VI: Gnomonic expansion and the creation of spirals; Lawlor cites Hero of Alexandria, who defined a *gnomon* as follows: “It is any figure which, when added to an original figure, leaves the resultant figure similar to the original one.”; P.J. Lin-Chung and A.K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 901 (1995); C.X. Cui and M. Kertesz, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 9661 (1989); Y. Saruyama, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **58**, 3182-97 (1989)
- [8] G. Felsenfeld, Scientific American **253**, 44 (Oct. 1985)
 - [9] S.W. Englander et al., Proc. Nat. Ac. Sci. USA **77**, 7222 (1980)
 - [10] S. Yomosa, Phys. Rev. A **27**, 2120 (1987); Phys. Rev. A **30**, 474 (1984)
 - [11] M. Skierski, A.M. Grundland, and J.A. Tuszyński, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22**, 3789 (1989); J.M. Dixon, J.A. Tuszyński, and M. Otwinowski, Phys. Rev. A **44**, 3484 (1991) and references therein.
 - [12] Some recent papers are as follows:
M. Bazhenov and M. Rabinovich, Phys. Lett. A **179**, 191 (1993); A. Panfilov and P. Hogeweg, Phys. Lett. A **176**, 295 (1993); I. Aranson, L. Kramer, and A. Weber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2316 (1994); Phys. Rev. E **47**, 3231 (1993); H. Ito and L. Glass, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 671 (1991); H. Ito, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 3776 (1993)
 - [13] I.M. Yaglom, *Felix Klein and Sophus Lie: Evolution of the Idea of Symmetry in the Nineteenth Century*, pp 109-110 (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988)
 - [14] *The Thirteen Books of Euclid's Elements*, with Introduction and Commentary by Sir Thomas L. Heath, vol. 1, pp 162, Second Edition revised with Additions, (Dover, N.Y., 1956)
 - [15] M. Matsushita and S. Ouchi, Physica D **38**, 246 (1989); M.O. Vlad, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, 1791 (1994)
 - [16] M. Pavšič et al., Phys. Lett. B **318**, 481 (1993)
 - [17] E.R. Poppitz, Phys. Lett. B **309**, 114 (1993)